

Resolutions of free partially commutative monoids

L. Polyakova

Key words: monoid homology, free partially commutative monoid, free resolution, homological dimension.

Abstract. A free resolution of free partially commutative monoids is constructed and with its help the homological dimension of these monoids is calculated.

A free partially commutative monoid is a monoid generated by elements some of which commute (see the precise definition in Section 1). Homology of these monoids appeared in an article [1] by A. Husainov and V. Tkachenko in connection with constructing the homology groups of asynchronous transition systems. In [2] Husainov proposes the following Conjecture:

Conjecture. *Let Σ be a finite set and M be a free partially commutative monoid whose generating set is Σ . If there are no distinct letters $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \Sigma$ such that $a_i a_j = a_j a_i$ for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$, then the monoid M has the homological dimension $\leq n$.*

In this paper we construct a free resolution for a free partially commutative monoid and with its help prove the Husainov's Conjecture. We follow the ideas of D. Cohen who built in [3] a resolution for the so-called graph product of groups, given resolutions for factors. The presentation of the graph product with the help of direct and free amalgamated products played the leading role at that. However the additional difficulties appear while using this method for monoids.

Section 1 is devoted to basic definitions and facts concerned with free partially commutative monoids and free amalgamated products. In Section 2 the desired resolution is constructed. If the opposite is not specified all considered modules are right.

1 Preliminaries

In the subsequent text we follow mainly [4] in considering free partially commutative monoids and [5] in considering monoid free amalgamated products.

Let Σ be a finite set called the alphabet. We denote by Σ^* , and its elements are called the words, the free monoid generated by Σ . The notation $\text{alph}(x)$ is used for all letters of Σ that appear in a word $x \in \Sigma^*$.

Let $I \subseteq \Sigma \times \Sigma$ be a symmetric irreflexive binary relation over the alphabet Σ called the commutation relation. The complement of I is denoted by $D = \Sigma \times \Sigma \setminus I$.

A monoid $M(\Sigma, I)$, which has a presentation $\langle \Sigma | \{ab = ba, (a, b) \in I\} \rangle$, is called a free partially commutative monoid.

An undirected graph without loops $\Gamma(M)$ can be uniquely compared to the free partially commutative monoid $M(\Sigma, I)$ in the following way: the vertex set of $\Gamma(M)$ is Σ , and the edges connect commuting vertices.

Important tools to work with free partially commutative monoids are the Projection Lemma and Levi's Lemma ([4]). To formulate the former we need a definition. Let $A \subseteq \Sigma$ and $I_A = (A \times A) \cap I$ be an induced commutation relation. *The projection* is a homomorphism $\pi_A : M(\Sigma, I) \rightarrow M(A, I_A)$ which erases all the letters from a word which do not belong to A . In other words, for $a \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\pi_A(a) = \begin{cases} a, & a \in A, \\ 1, & a \notin A. \end{cases}$$

If $I_A = \emptyset$, then π_A is a projection of $M(\Sigma, I)$ onto the free monoid A^* . If $A = \{a, b\}$, we write $\pi_{a,b}$ instead of $\pi_{\{a,b\}}$.

Lemma 1.1 (Projection Lemma, [4]) *Elements $u, v \in M(\Sigma, I)$ are equal if and only if $\pi_{a,b}(u) = \pi_{a,b}(v)$ for all $(a, b) \in D$.*

Lemma 1.2 (Levi, [4]) *Let $t, u, v, w \in M(\Sigma, I)$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) $tu = vw$;
- 2) *there exist $p, q, r, s \in M(\Sigma, I)$ such that $t = pr$, $u = sq$, $v = ps$, $w = rq$ with $rs = sr$ and $\text{alph}(r) \cap \text{alph}(s) = \emptyset$.*

To write down the elements of the free partially commutative monoid the so called Foata normal form is used. It is defined in the following way. Let Σ be totally ordered. A word $x \in M(\Sigma, I)$ is in the Foata normal form if either it is the empty word or there exist an integer $n > 0$ and non-empty words x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), such that

- 1) $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$;
- 2) for each i the word x_i is a product of distinct pairwise commuting letters, the letters of x_i being written with regard to ordering introduced on Σ ;
- 3) for each $1 \leq i < n$ and for each letter a of x_{i+1} there exists a letter b of x_i , such that $(a, b) \in D$.

The following theorem holds:

Theorem 1.3 ([4], [6]) *Every element of $M(\Sigma, I)$ has a unique Foata normal form.*

For example, the Foata normal form of the n -th power a^n ($a \in \Sigma$) consists of n factors equal a .

We recall briefly the concepts of the monoid free product and the free amalgamated product.

A monoid free product $\Pi^*\{M_j, j \in J\}$ or simple Π^*M_j is built for a monoid family $\{M_j, j \in J\}$ provided $M_i \cap M_j = 1, i \neq j$ (see, for instance, [5, V.2., §9.4]). It consists if the single-element sequence (1) and all nonempty sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$, such that $a_j \neq 1, a_j \in M_{i(j)}, j = 1, \dots, k$ and $i(j) \neq i(j+1), j = 1, \dots, k-1$.

For each $j \in J$ a canonical isomorphic embedding $\chi_j : M_j \rightarrow \Pi^*M_j$ can be defined as follows: $\chi_j(a) = a$; and M_j can be identified with its canonical image. Hence, we can suppose that Π^*M_j is generated by its submonoids M_j . The element $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \Pi^*M_j$ can be written as $a_1 \dots a_k$.

A monoid free amalgamated product is built for a family $[\{M_j, j_J\}; U; \{\varphi_j, j_J\}]$ which is called a monoid amalgam. Here $\{M_j, j \in J\}$ and U are monoids. We assume again that $M_i \cap M_j = 1, i \neq j$, and in the free product Π^*M_j each monoid M_j is identified with its canonical image. Homomorphisms $\varphi_j, j \in J$ are the embeddings of the monoid U into the monoids M_j , such that the unit of U is mapped onto the common unit of the monoids M_j .

We define the relation ν over Π^*M_j assuming

$$\nu = \{(u_i, u_j) | u_i = \varphi_i(u), u_j = \varphi_j(u), \text{ for some } i, j \in J, u \in U\}.$$

Let $\sim_\nu$ be the least congruence containing ν . A monoid $\Pi^*\{M_j, j \in J\} / \sim_\nu$ is called a free product of the amalgam $[\{M_j, j_J\}; U; \{\varphi_j, j_J\}]$ or a free amalgamated product and is denoted by $\Pi_U^*M_j$.

The free amalgamated product can be described in terms of generators and defining relations, namely, the following proposition holds:

Proposition 1.4 ([5]) *Let $[M_j; U; \varphi_j]$ be a monoid amalgam and the monoid U has a presentation $\langle Y | \pi \rangle$ where $\pi \subset Y^* \times Y^*$. Then there exist such sets X_j that $Y \subseteq X_j, X_i \cap X_j = Y$, if $i \neq j$, and there exist such relations $\sigma_j \subset X_j^* \times X_j^*$ that $M_j = \langle X_j, \sigma_j \rangle, \sim_\pi = \sim_{\sigma_j} \cap (Y^* \times Y^*)$ for each j . If $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} X_j, \sigma = \bigcup_{j \in J} \sigma_j$, then the free amalgamated product $\Pi_U^*M_j$ has a presentation $\langle X | \sigma \rangle$.*

2 Constructing resolutions

We assume that the tensor product is considered over the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ if it is not specified. Also, for the monoid M we write “ M -module” instead of “ $\mathbb{Z}M$ -module” and $\otimes_M$ means that the tensor product is considered over the ring $\mathbb{Z}M$.

First, we discuss how a resolution for a free commutative monoid looks like. The free commutative monoid M with n generators $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ is a direct product of n infinite cyclic monoids $M^1, M^2, \dots, M^n$ with generators $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ respectively. For each of them the resolution looks like

$$0 \rightarrow [a_j] \mathbb{Z}M^j \xrightarrow{\partial^j} \mathbb{Z}M^j \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^j} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where $[a_j] \mathbb{Z}M^j$ is a free M^j -module with one generator $[a^j]$ and $\partial^j[a^j] = a^j - 1$.

Denote by X^j the complex $0 \rightarrow [a^j] \mathbb{Z}M^j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}M^j$. Reasoning similarly for monoids as in [7, IV, §6] we obtain the resolution for M as the tensor product of complexes X^j with the augmentation $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varepsilon^n$:

$$0 \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \dots \rightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} X_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} X_0 = \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \quad (*)$$

where

$$X_k = \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_k = n} X_{m_1}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_{m_k}^n.$$

The number of the summands in this sum equals C_n^k , and each of them can be identified with the free M -module with one generator $[a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_k}]$ where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ and $X_{m_{i_j}}^{i_j} = [a_j] \mathbb{Z} M^{i_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

The boundary homomorphisms δ_k are of the form:

$$\delta_k[a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}] = \sum_{j=1}^k [a_{i_1} \dots \widehat{a_{i_j}} \dots a_{i_k}] (a_{i_j} - 1) (-1)^{j-1}.$$

Before we turn to the main Theorem we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $M = M(\Sigma, I)$ be a free partially commutative monoid, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$, $I_0 = (\Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0) \cap I$ and $M_0 = M(\Sigma_0, I_0)$. Then the monoid ring $\mathbb{Z}M$ is a free (left) $\mathbb{Z}M_0$ -module.*

Proof. To make sure that M_0 is really a submonoid of M we build an embedding $i : M_0 \rightarrow M$. Let $[x]_{M_0}$ be an element of M_0 defined by $x \in \Sigma_0^*$, and $[y]_M$ be an element of M defined by $y \in \Sigma^*$. Now set $i([x]_{M_0}) = [x]_M$.

This mapping is correct and is a homomorphism, for instance, in view of [5, V.1, §1.12, corollary 1.29]. We prove that i is an injection. If $x, y \in \Sigma_0^*$ and $i([x]_{M_0}) = [x]_M = [y]_M = i([y]_{M_0})$, then x can be obtained from y by successive transpositions of neighboring letters a, b , such that $(a, b) \in I$. However $(a, b) \in I_0$ since $x, y \in \Sigma_0^*$. Hence, $[x]_{M_0} = [y]_{M_0}$.

We order the elements of Σ in such a way that all the element of Σ_0 precede all the elements of $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$.

To prove that $\mathbb{Z}M$ is a free module we construct its basis. Consider the set B which consists of the monoid unit and all the elements $t \in M$, such that the presentation t in the Foata normal form $t = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$ has the following property: w_1 consists only of those letters which belong to $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$.

Notice that if $u \in B$, then it cannot be presented in the form $u = su_0$ where $s \in M_0 \setminus 1$. Indeed, suppose such a presentation exists. Consider the presentation of u in the Foata normal form $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ and the letter x which is the first letter of the word s . The first occurrence of x in the word $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ belongs to some u_j , $j > 1$. Then by the definition of the Foata normal form there exists such a letter y of u_{j-1} that $(x, y) \in D$. Two projections $\pi_{x,y}(u_1 u_2 \dots u_n)$ and $\pi_{x,y}(su_0)$ do not coincide in the free monoid $\{x, y\}^*$, since the first letter of $\pi_{x,y}(u_1 u_2 \dots u_n)$ is y , but the first letter of $\pi_{x,y}(su_0)$ is x , that contradicts Lemma 1.1.

Show that each element $w \in M$ can be presented in the form $w = au$ where $a \in M_0$, $u \in B$. To find such a presentation it is sufficient to consider the following procedure. Present w in the Foata normal form: $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$. If the letters of the alphabet Σ_0 do not occur in the word w_1 , then $w = 1 \cdot w$ where $w \in B$. Otherwise, $w_1 = a_1 u_1$, where, in view of the introduced on Σ order, the words a_1 and u_1 can be chosen in such a way that $a_1 \in M_0$ and u_1 does not contain the letters

of the alphabet Σ_0 . Consider the word $u_1 w_2 \dots w_n$, present it in the Foata normal form $u_1 w_2 \dots w_n = w_1^1 w_2^1 \dots w_n^1$ and again “separate” the element of M_0 in the word w_1^1 : $w_1^1 = a_2 u_2$. Continuing similarly we obtain finally the decomposition of the form $w = a_1 \dots a_k u_k w_1^k w_2^k \dots w_{n_k}^k = au$ where $a = a_1 \dots a_n \in M_0$ and $u = u_k w_1^k w_2^k \dots w_{n_k}^k$ is an element of the set B .

Thus we know how to decompose the elements of the monoid M by the elements of B . Now obviously we can decompose the elements of the ring $\mathbb{Z}M$ by the elements of B with the coefficients in the ring $\mathbb{Z}M_0$.

To show that such a decomposition is unique it is sufficient to prove that the element w of the monoid M does not have two different decompositions. Suppose $w = au = bv$ where $a, b \in M_0$, $\{u, v\} \in B$. Then Lemma 1.2 implies that there exist $p, q, r, s \in M$, such that $a = pr$, $b = ps$, $u = sq$, $v = rq$. From $a = pr$, $b = ps$ it follows particularly that $r, s \in M_0$. But then the presentations $u = sq$ and $v = rq$ contradict the fact $u, v \in B$ if $r = s = 1$ does not hold. In this case $a = b = p$, $u = v = q$ and the decompositions $a \cdot u = b \cdot v$ coincide.

Therefore, the set B is a basis that proves the lemma. ■

Remark. Note that Lemma 2.1 always holds for groups, i.e. if G is an arbitrary group and G_0 is its subgroup, then the group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}G_0$ -module (see., for instance, [8, I, §3]). At the same time, if we choose a submonoid of $M(\Sigma, I)$ which is not free partially commutative, the statement generally does not hold.

Example. Let $M = \langle a \rangle$ be an infinite cyclic monoid and $M_0 = \{1, a^2, a^3, \dots, a^n, \dots\}$ be a submonoid of M . Then $\mathbb{Z}M$ is not a free M_0 -module. Suppose the contrary, then there exists a basis B in $\mathbb{Z}M$ and each of the elements of $\mathbb{Z}M$ has the unique decomposition by this basis over the ring $\mathbb{Z}M_0$. Consider the elements $1, a$. None of them can be presented as xu where $x \in M_0 \setminus \{1\}$, $u \in M$. Hence, both of them are contained in B . But then the element $a^3 = a^2 \cdot a = a^3 \cdot 1$ has two decompositions by the elements of the basis.

Notice that the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ becomes a trivial M_0 -module if we set $n \cdot a = n$ for each $a \in M_0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the tensor product $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M$ exists and is an M -module, since $\mathbb{Z}M$ is an M -module. This remark allows us to formulate the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let M be a monoid, M_0, M_1, M_2 be its submonoids and $M = M_1 *_{M_0} M_2$. Then the following sequence of M -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_1} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_2} \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

is exact.

Proof. Define i and p in the following way. For arbitrary $w, u, v \in M$ we set $i(1 \otimes_{M_0} w) = 1 \otimes_{M_1} w + 1 \otimes_{M_2} w$, $p(1 \otimes_{M_1} v) = 1$, $p(1 \otimes_{M_2} u) = -1$. It is easy to check that in terms $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M$ the sequence is exact. We prove the exactness in the middle term. Since $pi(1 \otimes_{M_0} \sum_{w \in \Sigma} l_w w) = \sum_{w \in \Sigma} l_w - \sum_{w \in \Sigma} l_w = 0$, then $\text{Im } i \subseteq \text{Ker } p$.

The inverse involving must be proved. An element $1 \otimes_{M_1} \sum n_u u + 1 \otimes_{M_2} \sum m_v v$ belongs to $\text{Ker } p$ if and only if $\sum n_u = \sum m_v$. These sums can be presented in the form:

$$\sum n_u = n_+ - n_-, \quad \sum m_v = m_+ - m_-$$

where n_+, m_+ are the sums of all the positive coefficients and n_-, m_- the sums of all the negative ones respectively. If $n_+ = m_+$, then $n_- = m_-$. It means that the sum $1 \otimes_{M_1} \sum n_u u$ contains the same quantity of the “plus”-sign summands of the form $1 \otimes_{M_1} a$ ($a \in M$) as the sum $1 \otimes_{M_2} \sum m_v v$. Similarly for the “minus”-sign summands. Suppose $n_+ \neq m_+$, for instance $n_+ > m_+$. Then we add $n_+ - m_+$ summands of the form $1 \otimes_{M_2} b$ for some $b \in M$ to the sum $1 \otimes_{M_2} \sum m_v v$ and subtract them. Then the numbers of “plus”-sign summands and “minus”-sign summands coincide for both of the sums, since $m_+ + (n_+ - m_+) - (m_- + (n_+ - m_+)) = n_+ - n_-$.

The following step is to construct a preimage under the action of i for the element of the form $1 \otimes_{M_1} u + 1 \otimes_{M_2} v$ where $u, v \in M$. After solving this problem we will be able to find a preimage for all the elements of $\text{Ker } p$ due to the reasoning stated above, i.e. to show that $\text{Ker } p \subseteq \text{Im } i$ and finish the proof of the Lemma.

To find the inverse image we present u and v in the form:

$$u = a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_l b_l; \quad v = c_1 d_1 c_2 d_2 \dots c_s d_s$$

where $a_i, c_j \in M_1$, $b_i, d_j \in M_2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Then the element

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes_{M_0} w &= 1 \otimes_{M_0} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \dots a_l b_l - a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \dots a_l b_l) + b_l + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^s (c_j d_j c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s - d_j c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s) \right] \end{aligned}$$

is a preimage of $1 \otimes_{M_1} u + 1 \otimes_{M_2} v$ under the action of i . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} i(1 \otimes_{M_0} w) &= 1 \otimes_{M_1} [b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_l b_l + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (-a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \dots a_l b_l + b_{k+1} a_{k+2} b_{k+2} \dots a_l b_l) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s (c_j d_j c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s - d_j c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s)] + \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes_{M_2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (b_k a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \dots a_l b_l - a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \dots a_l b_l) + b_l + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_1 d_1 c_2 d_2 \dots c_s d_s + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} (-d_j c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s + c_{j+1} d_{j+1} \dots c_s d_s) - d_s \right] = \\ &= 1 \otimes_{M_1} b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_l b_l + 1 \otimes_{M_2} (b_l + c_1 d_1 c_2 d_2 \dots c_s d_s - d_s) = \\ &= 1 \otimes_{M_1} a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_l b_l + 1 \otimes_{M_2} c_1 d_1 c_2 d_2 \dots c_s d_s = 1 \otimes_{M_1} u + 1 \otimes_{M_2} v, \end{aligned}$$

what required. ■

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem. Let $M(\Sigma, I)$ be a free partially commutative monoid with a totally ordered generating set Σ . Let $\Gamma(M)$ be its graph and r_k be the number of complete subgraphs with k vertices in graph $\Gamma(M)$. Let F_k be a free M -module with r_k generators. We denote each of such generators as $[a_1 \dots a_k]$ by putting the ascending sequence of vertices of corresponding subgraph. We denote the M -module homomorphisms $\delta_k : F_k \rightarrow F_{k-1}$, $k > 1$ by setting for

generators

$$\delta_k[a_1 \dots a_k] = \sum_{j=1}^k [a_1 \dots \widehat{a_j} \dots a_k] (a_j - 1) (-1)^{j-1}.$$

Besides we set $\delta_1[a] = a - 1$ and $\varepsilon(a) = 1$ for all $a \in \Sigma$, and thus define homomorphisms $\delta_1 : F_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}M$ and $\varepsilon : \mathbb{Z}M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. The following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.3 *The sequence of M -modules and their homomorphisms*

$$\dots \rightarrow F_n \xrightarrow{\delta_n} \dots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} F_0 = \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (**)$$

is a free resolution of module $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}M$.

Proof. It has to be proved only the exactness of this sequence. We use the induction on the number of generators of M .

If M is a free commutative monoid (i.e. its graph is complete), then the resolution (*) coincides with a sequence (**) for this monoid, since the generators $[a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}]$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ of modules X_k are in one-one correspondence with complete subgraphs with the vertices $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}$. Particulary, in case when M is generated only by one generator, we obtain the induction assumption.

Suppose $\Gamma(M)$ is not complete. Then there exist two vertices x and y which are not adjacent. Consider the subgraphs $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \setminus \{x, y\}$, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \setminus x$, $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma \setminus y$ and their corresponding submonoids of the monoid M : $M_0(\Sigma_0, I_0)$, $M_1(\Sigma_1, I_1)$ and $M_2(\Sigma_2, I_2)$. We have for them:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_0 &= \Sigma \setminus \{x, y\}; \quad \Sigma_1 = \Sigma \setminus x; \quad \Sigma_2 = \Sigma \setminus y; \\ I_j &= (\Sigma_j \times \Sigma_j) \cap I, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since for monoids M_0, M_1, M_2 the relations $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \Sigma_0$; $I_0 = I_1 \cap (\Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0) = I_2 \cap (\Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0)$ hold, then by Proposition 1.4 the free amalgamated product $M_1 *_{M_0} M_2$ has a presentation $\langle \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 | \{ab = ba, (a, b) \in I_1 \cup I_2\} \rangle = \langle \Sigma, \{ab = ba, (a, b) \in I\} \rangle$, i.e. it coincides with the monoid M .

Further we apply the induction assumption to M_0, M_1, M_2 . Let

$$\dots \rightarrow F_2^j \xrightarrow{\delta_2^j} F_1^j \xrightarrow{\delta_1^j} \mathbb{Z}M_j \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^j} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2$$

be the resolutions for these monoids. We consider their tensor product with $\mathbb{Z}M$ over $\mathbb{Z}M_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2$ respectively. By Lemma 2.1 $\mathbb{Z}M$ is a free M_j -module ($j = 0, 1, 2$), thus the functors $\otimes_{M_j} \mathbb{Z}M$ are exact, therefore, the sequences remain exact, the modules $F_k^j \otimes_{M_j} \mathbb{Z}M$ being free M -modules. Further consider the commutative diagram consisting of free M -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 \longrightarrow & F_2^0 \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{i_2} & F_2^1 \otimes_{M_1} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus F_2^2 \otimes_{M_2} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{p_2} & F_2 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 \longrightarrow & F_1^0 \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{i_1} & F_1^1 \otimes_{M_1} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus F_1^2 \otimes_{M_2} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{p_1} & F_1 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}M_0 \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbb{Z}M_1 \otimes_{M_1} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}M_2 \otimes_{M_2} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{p_0} & \mathbb{Z}M & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_0} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_1} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{M_2} \mathbb{Z}M & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& 0 & & 0 & & 0 &
\end{array}$$

As it has been already noticed the left and the middle columns are exact. The bottom row is exact by Lemma 2.2. The second (from the bottom) row is a sequence of modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{i_0} \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}M \xrightarrow{p_0} \mathbb{Z}M \rightarrow 0$$

where $i_0(a) = a \oplus a$ and $p_0(b \oplus c) = b - c$ for all $a, b, c \in M$. From here it can be easy shown that it is also exact.

To prove the exactness of other rows we note that each complete subgraph, which is contained in Γ_0 , is contained in Γ_1 and in Γ_2 simultaneously, and each complete subgraph, which is contained in Γ , is contained either in Γ_1 or in Γ_2 , since the vertices x and y are not adjacent.

Let elements $[c_1], \dots, [c_l]$ be generators of F_n^0 ; $[a_1], \dots, [a_m], [c'_1], \dots, [c'_l]$ be generators of F_n^1 ; $[b_1], \dots, [b_k], [c''_1], \dots, [c''_l]$ be generators of F_n^2 (for the sake of simplicity we denote the generators with a single letter and give them accents for not to mistake what direct summand they belong to). Then the generators of F_n are $[a_1], \dots, [a_m], [b_1], \dots, [b_k], [c_1], \dots, [c_l]$. The homomorphisms i_n and p_n look like:

$$i_n\left(\sum_{j=1}^l [c_j] \otimes_{M_0} \gamma_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^l [c'_j] \otimes_{M_1} \gamma_j + \sum_{j=1}^l [c''_j] \otimes_{M_2} \gamma_j$$

where $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{Z}M$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n\left(\sum_{i=1}^m [a_i] \otimes_{M_1} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^l [c'_j] \otimes_{M_1} \delta'_j + \sum_{i=1}^k [b_i] \otimes_{M_2} \beta_i + \sum_{j=1}^l [c''_j] \otimes_{M_2} \delta''_j\right) = \\
= \sum_{i=1}^m [a_i] \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^k [b_i] \beta_i + \sum_{j=1}^l [c_j] (\delta'_j - \delta''_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Evidently, for each n the identity $p_n i_n = 0$ holds. Besides, the element $x = \sum_{i=1}^m [a_i] \otimes_{M_1} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^l [c'_j] \otimes_{M_1} \delta'_j + \sum_{i=1}^k [b_i] \otimes_{M_2} \beta_i + \sum_{j=1}^l [c''_j] \otimes_{M_2} \delta''_j$ belongs to

$\text{Ker } p_n$ if and only if $\alpha_i = 0$, ($i = 1, \dots, m$), $\beta_i = 0$, ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $\delta'_j = \delta''_j$, ($j = 1, \dots, l$), i.e. $x = i_n(\sum_{j=1}^l [c_j] \otimes_{M_0} \delta'_j)$. Hence, $\text{Im } i_n = \text{Ker } p_n$ holds.

The exactness of all the rows, the left and the middle columns implies the exactness of the right column what can be shown by standard diagram search method. Thus the theorem is proved. ■

Corollary 2.4 *If the graph $\Gamma(M)$ of free partially commutative monoid M does not contain complete subgraphs with more than n vertices, then the homological dimension of M does not exceed n .*

Corollary 2.4 proves the Husainov's Conjecture formulated in the introduction.

Corollary 2.5 *Let M be a free partially commutative monoid, A be a trivial left M -module. Then for $n \geq 1$ homology groups $H_n(M, A) \cong \underbrace{A \oplus \dots \oplus A}_{r_n}$ where r_n is the number of complete subgraphs with n vertices in graph $\Gamma(M)$.*

Proof. Denote $C_n = \underbrace{A \oplus \dots \oplus A}_{r_n}$. Notice that there exists a homomorphism $F_n \otimes$

$A \cong C_n$. Indeed the mapping $\varphi : F_n \times A \rightarrow C_n$ can be constructed in the following way. Let $[x_1], \dots, [x_{r_n}]$ be the generators of F_n . For each $j = 1, \dots, r_n$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}M$, $a \in A$ we set $\varphi([x_j]\alpha, a) = (0, \dots, \alpha a, \dots, 0)$, where αa is put on the j -th place, and extend this mapping linearly. It is easy to check that the Abelian group C_n together with the mapping φ satisfies the universal property of the tensor product $F_n \otimes_M A$ and, therefore, it is isomorphic to this tensor product.

The groups $H_n(M, A)$ are the homology groups of the complex

$$\dots \rightarrow C_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} A$$

where $\partial_n = \delta_n \otimes_M A$. Since M -module A is trivial, then

$$\partial_k([a_1 a_2 \dots a_k] \otimes_M 1) = \sum_{j=1}^k [a_1 \dots \widehat{a_j} \dots a_k] (a_j - 1) (-1)^{j-1} \otimes_M 1 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$H_n(M, A) = \text{Ker } \partial_n / \text{Im } \partial_{n+1} \cong C_n.$$

■

The particular case of this Corollary is

Corollary 2.6 *Let M be a free partially commutative monoid. Then the homology groups $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 1$ are the free Abelian groups of rank r_n where r_n is the number of complete subgraphs with n vertices in graph $\Gamma(M)$.*

References

- [1] Husainov A. A., Tkachenko V. V. Asynchronous transition systems homology groups // Mathematical modeling and the near questions of mathematics. Collection of the scientific works. Khabarovsk: KhGPU, 2003. P.23–33. (Russian)
- [2] Husainov A. A. On the homology of monoids and distributed systems // 5th International Algebraic Conference in Ukraine. Abstracts. Odessa, 2005.
- [3] Cohen D. E. Projective resolutions for graph products // Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. 1995.V.38, P.185-188.
- [4] Diekert V., Métivier Y. Partial Commutation and Traces // Handbook of formal languages. Vol.3. Springer-Verlag, 1997. P.457-533.
- [5] Clifford A. H., Preston G. B. The algebraic theory of semigroups. American Mathematical Society, Vol.I – 1964, Vol.II – 1967.
- [6] Lallement G. Semigroups and combinatorial applications. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1979.
- [7] MacLane S. Homology. Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [8] Brown K. S. Cohomology of groups. Springer-Verlag, 1982.